ORIGINAL

| 1 | ONTONAL |
|----|---|
| 1 | BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION |
| 2 | OF THE STATE OF HAWAII |
| 3 | In the Matter of)) Docket No. 2006-0387 |
| 4 | MAUI ELECTRIC COMPANY,) LIMITED) |
| 5 | j |
| 6 | Increases and Revised) Rate Schedules. |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS |
| 10 | |
| 11 | The above matter came on for a public hearing at |
| 12 | Lanai High and Elementary School Cafeteria, 555 Fraser |
| 13 | Avenue, Lanai City, Lanai, Hawaii, commencing at 6:00 |
| 14 | p.m., on Thursday, April 26, 2007, pursuant to Notice. |
| 15 | |
| 16 | BEFORE: CHAIRMAN CARLITO P. CALIBOSO COMMISSIONER JOHN E. COLE |
| 17 | COMMISSIONER SOME E. COLL |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | REPORTED BY: ADRIANNE IGE KURASAKI, CSR 388 |
| 21 | Registered Professional Reporter |
| 22 | |
| 23 | PACIFIC REPORTING SERVICES UNLIMITED Fort Street Tower, Suite 704 |
| 24 | 745 Fort Street Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 |
| 25 | (808)524-PRSU |



| 1 | APPEARANCES: |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | For Maui Electric Company, Limited: |
| 4 | EDWARD REINHARDT Maui Electric Company, Limited 210 West Kamehameha Avenue |
| 5 | Kahului, Hawaii 96732 (808)871-2300 |
| 6 | |
| 7 | For Division of Consumer Advocacy: |
| 8 | |
| 9 | CATHERINE AWAKUNI, ESQ. Executive Director |
| 10 | Division of Consumer Advocacy 335 Merchant Street, Suite 326 |
| 11 | Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 (808)586-2800 |
| 12 | |
| 13 | For Public Utilities Commission: |
| 14 | JI SOOK (LISA) KIM, ESQ. 465 South King Street, Suite 103 |
| 15 | Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 (808)586-2020 |
| 16 | (808) 380-2020 |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

| 1 | INDEX OF TESTIFIERS | |
|----|---------------------|------|
| 2 | | PAGE |
| 3 | Edward Reinhardt | 5 |
| 4 | Catherine Awakuni | 9 |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | ; |
| 12 | | i |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN CALIBOSO: Good evening. My name is

Carlito Caliboso. For this public hearing, I am joined by

Commissioner John Cole. This is a public hearing held by

the Commission to receive public comments on Docket

No. 2006-0387. This docket concerns the application of

Maui Electric Company, Limited, or MECO, filed on

February 23rd, 2007 for Commission approval of rate

increases and revised rate schedules.

The Division of Consumer Advocacy of the Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs for the Consumer Advocate is also a party to this proceeding. Representatives of MECO and personnel from the Consumer Advocate's office are present this evening and will be available after the public hearing to answer any questions that you may have. Additionally, MECO will be given an opportunity to respond to any comments and views presented during this public hearing after all interested individuals have testified.

Anyone interested in testifying should sign up at the table located at the side of the room right over here.

With that, we will first begin with MECO, the Consumer Advocate second, and then anyone from the public who wishes to testify.

Mr. Edward Reinhardt, Maui Electric Company.

MR. REINHARDT: Good evening, Chairman Caliboso, Commissioner Cole, and members of the audience. My name is Edward Reinhardt. I am president of Maui Electric Company. Let me begin by thanking our customers who came to share their thoughts. It is important for us to know what is important to all of you.

we know that any rate increase is not a happy event for any customer and we, therefore, never make the decision to apply for one lightly. We also, however, need to do the right thing by our system, especially in maintaining reliable service. And unfortunately, that sometimes means rate increases.

Millions of dollars have been invested in the current Maui Electric facilities on Lanai. Since MECO's rate increase eight years ago, we invested \$4.5 million in plant additions to continued to provide reliable electric service to our customers on Lanai. We have an obligation to keep that system maintained and in good working order for our customers, and we will do so.

So with that as a background, let me discuss the specifics of this particular rate increase. Tonight, I will briefly cover how much of an increase we are asking for, what the increase will be used for, and how it will affect our customer bills. In particular, I want to explain the innovative new rate structures we are

proposing to help minimize the impact on lower income families and those who conserve energy.

How much of an increase is MECO requesting and how will it be structured?

MECO is requesting an overall net increase of \$19 million in base revenues for Maui County in total. For Lanai, we are seeking an increase of \$539,000, or 5.3 percent over present revenues.

This proposed increase is less than our studies show we should be requesting for Lanai. Setting rates according to the cost of providing service would have resulted in a 19.4 percent increase for customers on Lanai. To mitigate the impact of such an increase, we have decided to propose an equal percentage increase of 5.3 percent for Maui, Molokai, and Lanai.

We have also proposed opportunities for customers to save money to fairly shift more of the responsibility to those who contribute the most to high electricity costs.

First, we are asking the Commission to approve a residential tiered rate structure so those who use less electricity will pay lower rates. Under this plan, the majority of customers on Lanai will see smaller monthly increases in their electric bill, in the range of 2.3 to 4.3 percent. Those who use a lot of electricity will see

larger increases in their monthly bill, for example, approximately 6.8 percent for a residence using 2000-kilowatt hours. This new tiered system encourages energy conservation and efficiency and rewards customers who use energy wisely. We have proposed similar rate structures for the Big Island and Oahu.

At the same time, recognizing that some low-income families have large households with higher electricity use, we are also developing a provision to cap the electric rate applied for certain low-income households so that the tiered rate system does not unduly burden these families.

Second, to encourage use during off-peak times when power generation reserves are greater, the proposal also includes a voluntary time-of-use rate option for residential and commercial customers, which would provide lower electric rates for off-peak usage times and higher electric rates for peak usage times.

Why is the rate increase needed?

Although one of the major reasons for MECO's proposed increase is the addition of M18 and M19 generating units at Maalaea Generating Station on Maui, there have also been substantial investments on Lanai since the company's last increase eight years ago.

This has included installing new underground

facilities at the Manele and Hawaiian Homes subdivisions, installing a new 2.5 MVA transformer by the Old City Power Plant and implementing governor and generator controls upgrades for the Electro-Motive Division units at the Miki Basin Power Plant.

Our responsibility to provide reliable electrical service on Lanai also requires us to ensure proper maintenance of our electrical equipment and facilities. This includes more frequent inspections of utility lines and poles, increased vegetation management to keep our lines clear of trees and brush that could cause service outages, and proper servicing of our power generators.

What is the effect of the rate increase on customer bills?

what most of our customers will want to know is how will this increase affect my electric bill. If approved, MECO's tiered-rate structure would provide smaller percentage increases for those who use less electricity. For example, if the full request is approved, a typical residential customer on Lanai using 500-kilowatt hours a month would pay \$7.63 more, or about a 4.6 percent increase instead of the overall 5.3 percent increase.

Again, the tiered system we are proposing is intended to encourage conservation and lessen the impact

on residential customers who use smaller amounts of electricity. If approved, we anticipate the earliest a rate increase might take effect is in late 2007.

In conclusion, thank you for this opportunity to briefly describe our rate increase application. As I mentioned earlier, we will be available after the public hearing to answer your questions and concerns.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CALIBOSO: Thank you, Mr. Reinhardt.
Ms. Catherine Awakuni, Consumer Advocate's office.

MS. AWAKUNI: Thank you.

Good evening, Chairman Caliboso and Commission

Cole. I'm Catherine Awakuni, Executive Director of the

Division of Consumer Advocacy. The Division represents

the interests of consumers in public utility matters. And

to that end, I am here this evening to listen to the

consumers' comments and concerns regarding Maui Electric

Company Limited, or MECO's request for approval to

increase its rates and revise its rate schedules.

The Consumer Advocate's role is to represent the interests of all Hawaii consumers of public utility services by advocating for reliable utility services at reasonable customer costs.

To do this, the Consumer Advocate is taking an independent look at MECO's request for Public Utilities

Commission approval of its rate increase. We will confirm whether there is a need for the proposed rates and whether the rates proposed are necessary to insure the provision of reliable service.

After completing our review, we will file direct testimonies with the Commission explaining our analysis and recommendations. At this time, the Consumer Advocate has not completed its analysis and is not able to state its position on the merits of MECO's request this evening.

If this application goes to an evidentiary hearing, the Division's analysts and consultants who submit direct testimony will have an opportunity as witnesses to orally summarize their written testimony before the Commission. And because an evidentiary hearing is a quasi-judicial proceeding, the witnesses will be subject to thorough cross-examination by all parties. Following the contested case hearing, the parties will summarize their positions in post hearing briefs.

The Commission will ultimately decide whether to allow MECO to proceed with its request, and we encourage the public to express their opinions to the Commission regarding MECO's proposal. Your input is important because only you can tell us what effect the company's proposal may have on you and the businesses you may represent.

1 As we move forward, please feel free to contact 2 the Division's office at any time to share your thoughts, 3 concerns, and questions regarding this or any other 4 utility matter. And I'll note that I have copies of my presentation here at the Commission sign-in desk, which 5 6 includes all of our contact information. Thank you for this opportunity to make this 7 8 presentation. CHAIRMAN CALIBOSO: Thank you, Ms. Awakuni.

Is there anyone else who would like to testify or present comments this evening?

Seeing none, at this time -- I see one testifier coming up.

Ms. Julia Machew (phonetic). Good evening.

CHAIRMAN CALIBOSO: Good evening.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MACHEW: Sorry. I'm Julia Machew. I work with the Native Hawaiian Healthcare here on the island of Lanai. I'm also a concerned resident in the Iwiole Community Apartments that is run by Castle & Cooke. And I'm not too sure if what I'm about to say will make any impact or -- I just kind of have questions because recently, the company has come up with rules where the apartment complex where I live, we are unable to have any clothes line because it looks tacky, I guess. But at this apartment complex, we have low income as well as people

that work for the company, and so there's kind of different incomes, different financial needs, and they're requiring that we not hang any clothes. So how are we supposed to -- the low-income people supposed to care for -- doing laundry, especially with the global warming where we shouldn't be, you know, using -- burning electricity or using dryers.

We have 16 apartment buildings with eight residents in one apartment complex. Not being able to tap in with the natural resources such as our sun because we live in Hawaii, we have to use our dryers. And I'm so fortunate, but I live in a low-income area also and my concern is that I'm fortunate because I have a dryer. But for those who cannot afford a dryer and with this global warming and the environmental concerns, I don't think everybody -- all this apartment buildings running their dryers because we have to dry our clothes is -- and then our bills run up sky high, I don't think -- it's a concern that I have, and I just wanted to bring that up.

I'm sorry that nobody else is here. I just had this meeting agenda, and I didn't know what meeting I was coming to. And so I'm sorry that I'm not prepared. But it's a concern that I have.

CHAIRMAN CALIBOSO: Could you specify what company you're referring to?

MS. MACHEW: The company on this island is

Castle & Cooke who owns the majority of the apartment

complexes or some of the housing -- houses there. And so

they have this rule that there's no clothes line, but it

wasn't in our contract.

And I think -- you know, I mean, I understand that it looks tacky, but if they had us go to the lower line fence where you can't see the lines, I mean we get -- we're so fortunate to live in Hawaii. And we're doing the clothes line because Murdock doesn't want the tourists that passes up to go to the Lodge at Koele to see all this clothes hanging. And I understand that.

Africa, I don't expect the people to, you know, change their lifestyle just for me. I have to show respect and appreciation for how they live and respect the people and their culture. I mean I know that life is coming to where -- I don't know what I saying already. But all I know is it just kind of it bugs me because if I don't have the funds, I don't have the -- I'm not in a position to buy a new dryer, and now MECO is going up in their prices. I like that Mr. Reinhardt said it's going to be tiered, so it might make a difference to me because I have only a family of three and I don't see myself using that much electric.

1 CHAIRMAN CALIBOSO: Thank you. 2 would anyone else like to testify this evening? Seeing none, at this time I'd like to ask 3 4 Commission counsel, Ji Sook Kim -- before that, I'm going 5 to give Mr. Reinhardt, if he wanted to, a chance to respond to anything. 6 7 I have no response. MR. REINHARDT: Thank you. CHAIRMAN CALIBOSO: Thank you. 8 At this time, I'd like to ask Commission counsel, 9 Ji Sook Kim, to briefly explain what will happen following 10 11 the conclusion of this proceeding. 12 MS. KIM: Those wishing to present written 13 comments or additional comments should submit them to our Honolulu office at 465 South King Street, Room No. 103, 14 15 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813. Written comments may also be 16 submitted through e-mail at hawaii.puc@hawaii.gov. 17 Those wishing to intervene or participate without 18 intervention in this proceeding must do so by Monday, 19 May 7, 2007. For a motion to intervene or participate 20 file pursuant to Subchapter 4, Hawaii Administrative Rules 21 Title 6, Chapter 61, Rules of Practice and Procedure 22 before the Hawaii Public Utilities Commission. 23 This proceeding will be governed by regulatory 24 schedule which will be part of a procedural order that

will be issued by the Commission in this docket.

25

waived by the parties, an evidentiary hearing on the matters of this docket will be held after the parties have conducted discovery pursuant to the regulatory schedule. when the docket record is complete, the Commission will make every effort to finish our deliberations and issue our decision on this matter by November 23rd. 2007, as applicable and set forth by state law. CHAIRMAN CALIBOSO: Thank you, Ms. Kim. This concludes the public hearing. Thank you for your testimonies and for your attendance. Good night. are adjourned. (Proceedings concluded at 6:23 p.m.)

| 1 | CERTIFICATE |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | T ADDIANUE TOE KUDACAKT C C D in and for the |
| 4 | I, ADRIANNE IGE KURASAKI, C.S.R., in and for the State of Hawaii, do hereby certify: |
| 5 | |
| 6 | That I was acting as shorthand reporter in the |
| 7 | foregoing matter on Thursday, the 26th day of April, 2007; |
| 8 | That the proceedings were taken down in |
| 9 | That the proceedings were taken down in computerized machine shorthand by me at the time and place |
| 10 | stated herein, and thereafter reduced to print under my supervision; that the foregoing represents, to the best of |
| 11 | my ability, a correct transcript of the proceedings had in the foregoing matter; |
| 12 | |
| 13 | I further certify that I am not counsel for any of |
| 14 | the parties hereto, nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in the caption. |
| 15 | |
| 16 | Dated this 18th day of May, 2007 in Honolulu, Hawaii. |
| 17 | nawali. |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | (Danannetzeknasalz |
| 21 | Adrianne Ige Kurasaki, CSR 388 |
| 22 | Registered Professional Reporter |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |